

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b></p>	<p><b>Application No.</b> 09/771,761</p>	<p><b>Applicant(s)</b> ZIMNIEWICZ ET AL.</p>	
	<p><b>Examiner</b> Michael J. Yigdal</p>	<p><b>Art Unit</b> 2192</p>	

**All Participants:**

(1) Michael J. Yigdal.

(2) Patricia Murphy (Reg. No. 55,964).

**Date of Interview:** 2 July 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 9:30 AM ET

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*35 U.S.C. 103(a)*

Claims discussed:  
*1-4, 6-18, 20-26 and 28-31*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*Curtis, Kawamata, Noble, Kruger and Taylor*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Agreed that Applicant's representative will provide the examiner with changes to adopt as an examiner's amendment to address issues of non-statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101 and to distinguish the claims over the prior art of record.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Michael J. Yigdal/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2192

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)